Single-particle emission from the Andreev's level

Mykhailo Moskalets⁽¹⁾

Pablo Burset $^{(2)},$ Benjamin Roussel $^{(3)},$ Christian Flindt $^{(3)}$

⁽¹⁾ Instituto de Física Interdisciplinar y Sistemas Complejos IFISC (CSIC-UIB), E-07122 Palma, Spain; on leave from the Department of Metal and Semiconductor Physics, NTU "Kharkiv Polytechnic Institute", Kharkiv, Ukraine (e-mail: mykhailo.Moskalets@khpi.edu.ua)

⁽²⁾ Department of Theoretical Condensed Matter Physics, Condensed Matter Physics Center (IFIMAC) and Instituto Nicolás Cabrera, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28049 Madrid, Spain

⁽³⁾ Department of Applied Physics, Aalto University, 00076 Aalto, Finland

moskalets@ifisc.uib-csic.es

Abstract

Recently, high-speed quantum-coherent electron sources injecting one- to fewparticle excitations into the Fermi Sea have been experimentally realized.[1]-[3] The main obstacle to using these excitations as flying qubits [4] for quantum-information processing purposes is decoherence due to the long-range Coulomb interaction [5]. An obvious way to get around this difficulty is to employ electrically neutral excitations, for instance electron-hole pairs from the composite source.[6] Here we discuss how such excitations can be generated ondemand using the same injection principles as in existing electron sources. Namely, with the help of a voltage pulse of a certain shape applied to the Fermi Sea, or using a driven quantum dot with superconducting correlations. In the former case a twoparticle state (per spin) is excited, an electron-hole pair. While in the latter case a single-particle state with a variable charge is excited, an electron-hole superposition (EHS). Using a time-resolved electric current, in Fig.1 we show how the EHS composition varies with magnetic field from symmetric (electrically neutral) to asymmetric (charged). As shown in Fig.2, heat carried by EHS is proportional to charge, not noise, as in the case of the electron-hole pair state.

References

- [1] M.D. Blumenthal et al., Nat Phys., 3 (2007) 343
- [2] G. Fève et al., Science, 316 (2007) 1169
- [3] J. Dubois et al., Nature, 502 (2013) 659
- [4] <u>H. Edlbauer</u> et al., EPJ Quantum Technol. 9 (2022) 21
- [5] V. Freulon et al., Nat Comm., 6 (2015) 6854
- [6] M. Moskalets et al., Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics 229 (2020) 647

Figures



Figure 1: A time- and spin-resolved electric current injected into a normal lead from the Andreev's level, driven by a gate potential linear in time, $U \sim t$. Graphs at different Zeeman energies, $E_z/\Delta = 1;1.1;1.2;1.3;1.4$; and 1.5, are shifted for clarity. Δ is the superconducting gap



Figure 2: Electrical and thermal quantities are smooth functions of Zeeman energy. In contrast, their ratios clearly demonstrate a phase transition at $E_z=\Delta$, from the phase when the Andreev's level crosses the Fermi energy and changes its population as the gate potential U(t) is varied, to the phase when the population does not change with varying U(t).

QUANTUMatter2024