

# Quantum logic with spin qubits crossing the surface code error threshold

**Xiao Xue**<sup>1</sup>

Maximilian Russ<sup>1</sup>, Nodar Samkharadze<sup>2</sup>, Brennan Undseth<sup>1</sup>, Amir Sammak<sup>2</sup>, Giordano Scappucci<sup>1</sup>, Lieven M. K. Vandersypen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> QuTech and Kavli Institute of Nanoscience, Delft University of Technology, Lorentzweg 1, 2628 CJ Delft, Netherlands

<sup>2</sup> QuTech and Netherlands Organization for Applied Scientific Research (TNO), Stieltjesweg 1, 2628 CK Delft, Netherlands

Contact: [x.xue-1@tudelft.nl](mailto:x.xue-1@tudelft.nl)

## Abstract

High-fidelity control of quantum bits is paramount for the reliable execution of quantum algorithms and for achieving fault-tolerance, the ability to correct errors faster than they occur [1]. The central requirement for fault-tolerance is expressed in terms of an error threshold. Whereas the actual threshold depends on many details, a common target is the  $\sim 1\%$  error threshold of the well-known surface code [2, 3]. Reaching two-qubit gate fidelities above 99% has been a long-standing major goal for semiconductor spin qubits. These qubits are well positioned for scaling as they can leverage advanced semiconductor technology [4, 5].

Here we report a spin-based quantum processor in silicon with single- and two-qubit gate fidelities all above 99.5%, extracted from gate set tomography [6]. The average single-qubit gate fidelities remain above 99% when including crosstalk and idling errors on the neighboring qubit. Utilizing this high-fidelity gate set, we execute the demanding task of calculating molecular ground state energies using a variational quantum eigensolver algorithm [7]. Now that the 99% barrier for the two-qubit gate fidelity has been surpassed, semiconductor qubits have gained

credibility as a leading platform, not only for scaling but also for high-fidelity control.

## References

- [1] D. A. Lidar and T. A. Brun, Cambridge university press (2013).
- [2] Robert Raussendorf and Jim Harrington, Phys. Rev. Lett. (2007) 98, 190504.
- [3] A. G. Fowler, et al., Phys. Rev. A (2012) 86, 032324.
- [4] A. M. J. Zwerver et al., arXiv:2101.12650 (2021).
- [5] X. Xue et al., Nature (2021) 593, 205–210.
- [6] X. Xue et al., Nature (2022) 601, 343–347.
- [7] S. McArdle, et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. (2020) 92, 015003.