

Electrochemical Control of Solid-Contact Ion-Selective Electrodes

Johan Bobacka

Tingting Han, Ulriika Mattinen, Zekra Mousavi

Åbo Akademi University, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Henriksgatan 2, 20500 Turku/Åbo, Finland

johan.bobacka@abo.fi

Abstract

Elimination of the internal filling solution of polymer membrane-based conventional ion-selective electrodes (ISEs) in 1971 initially aimed at producing miniaturized, inexpensive and robust ion sensors [1]. Gradually, the importance of the ion-to-electron transduction process at the interface between the ionically conducting ion-selective membrane and the electronically conducting electrode substrate was realized [2]. Over the years, various ion-to-electron transducers, including conducting polymers, nanostructured carbon materials and other functional nanomaterials, have been explored to improve the analytical performance of solid-contact ion-selective electrodes (SC-ISEs) [3-5]. Extensive research in our group has resulted in new methods to electrochemically control the response behaviour of SC-ISEs using poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT) as the ion-to-electron transducer [6]. By utilizing the electrochemical properties of PEDOT it is possible to control and reset the standard potential of SC-ISEs [7, 8]. Furthermore, the redox (pseudo)capacitance of PEDOT can be used to convert a potentiometric signal into a coulometric one for SC-ISEs [9-15]. This latter coulometric transduction method allows amplification of the analytical response of SC-ISEs [9-12], resulting in improved precision compared to classical potentiometry [13]. The coulometric transduction method has been evaluated for determination of various cations and anions by SC-ISEs [14, 15]. Furthermore, Bakker's group has shown that the coulometric method can be used to detect very small pH changes, down to 0.001 pH units [16], and it also provides a route towards self-powered ion sensors [17].

References

- [1] R.W. Cattrall, H. Freiser, *Anal. Chem.*, 43 (1971) 1905–1906.
- [2] B.P. Nikolskii, E.A. Materova, *Ion-Sel. Electrode Rev.*, 7 (1985) 3–39.
- [3] J. Bobacka, A. Ivaska, A. Lewenstam, *Chem. Rev.*, 108 (2008) 329–351
- [4] J. Hu, A. Stein, P. Bühlmann, *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem.*, 76 (2016) 102–114.
- [5] Y. Shao, Y. Ying, J. Ping, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 49 (2020) 4405–4465.
- [6] J. Bobacka, *Anal. Chem.*, 71 (1999) 4932–4937.
- [7] U. Vanamo, J. Bobacka, *Electrochim. Acta*, 122 (2014) 316–321.
- [8] U. Vanamo, J. Bobacka, *Anal. Chem.*, 86 (2014) 10540–10545.
- [9] E. Hupa, U. Vanamo, J. Bobacka, *Electroanalysis*, 27 (2015) 591–594.
- [10] U. Vanamo, E. Hupa, V. Yrjänä, J. Bobacka, *Anal. Chem.*, 88 (2016) 4369–4374.
- [11] T. Han, U. Vanamo, J. Bobacka, *ChemElectroChem*, 3 (2016) 2071–2077.
- [12] Z. Jarolímová, T. Han, U. Mattinen, J. Bobacka, E. Bakker, *Anal. Chem.*, 90 (2018) 8700–8707.
- [13] T. Han, U. Mattinen, J. Bobacka, *ACS Sensors*, 4 (2019) 900–906.
- [14] T. Han, Z. Mousavi, U. Mattinen, J. Bobacka, *J. Solid State Electrochem.*, 24 (2020) 2975–2983.
- [15] T. Han, U. Mattinen, Z. Mousavi, J. Bobacka, *Electrochim. Acta*, 367 (2021) 137566.
- [16] P. Kraikaew, S. Jeanneret, Y. Soda, T. Cherubini, E. Bakker, *ACS Sens.*, 5 (2020) 650–654.
- [17] S.K. Sailapu, P. Kaikaew, N. Sabaté, E. Bakker, *ACS Sens.*, 5 (2020) 2909–2914.