An X-ray spectra simulations workflow based on machine learning: applications to Li-ion battery materials

 Michael A. Hernandez Bertran^{1,7}, Davide Tisi², Yusuf Shaidu^{3,4,5}, Franco Pellegrini⁵, Stefano de Gironcoli^{5,6}, Elisa Molinari^{1,7}, Michele Ceriotti², Deborah Prezzi¹
¹Istituto Nanoscienze, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche CNR, via Campi 213/A 41125, Modena, Italy.
²Institut des Sciences et Génie Matériaux, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland.
³Department of Physics, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA.
⁴Materials Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA.

Trieste, Italy. ⁶CNR-IOM DEMOCRITOS, Istituto Officina dei Materiali, Trieste, Italy.

⁷FIM, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, via Campi 213/A 41125, Modena, Italy.

michaelalejandro.hernandezbertran@unimore.it

In the framework of battery research, core-level spectroscopies are established strategies to assess the evolution and composition of the Solid Electrolyte Interphase (SEI). While these techniques provide valuable insights into atomic and electronic structures, their interpretation in complex systems is not straightforward, emphasizing the importance of theoretical insights from ab-initio approaches. On the other hand, the time required for computing an X-ray spectrum scales linearly with the number of prohibitively non-equivalent atoms, becomina expensive for complex amorphous materials. This drawback can be addressed by employing a surrogate model that combines the precision of ab initio methods with computational efficiency [1]. We trained machine-learning (ML) models based on Kernel Ridge Regression (KRR) [2] and Neural Network (NN), using atom-density descriptors for predicting X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopies (XPS), by using core-electron Binding Energies (BE) as the target quantity. A comprehensive automated AiiDA workflow [3], integrating first-principles XPS simulation with sample sub-selection via Farthest Point Sampling (FPS), was employed to generate the critical amount of data needed for the training process. The ML models were trained on a representative dataset comprising about 2000 lithiated Carbon-based structures. previously obtained through an evolutionary algorithm (EA) combined with molecular dynamics (MD) and clustering techniques [4]. The model was tested on a validation dataset of ~500 structures, achieving an accuracy of 0.1 eV, consistent with the typical experimental resolution of XPS. This approach holds promise for further application to complex structures relevant to Li-ion battery materials.

References

- Paruzzo, F. M.; Hofstetter, A.; Musil, F.; De, S.; Ceriotti, M.; Emsley, L., Nat. Commun. 9, 4501 (2018).
- [2] Deringer, V. L. et al., Chem. Rev. 121, 10073 (2021).
- [3] Sebastiaan. P. Huber, Spyros Zoupanos, Martin Uhrin, Leopold Talirz, Leonid Kahle, Rico Häuselmann, Dominik Gresch, Tiziano Müller, Aliaksandr V. Yakutovich, Casper W. Andersen, Francisco F. Ramirez, Carl S. Adorf, Fernando Gargiulo, Snehal Kumbhar, Elsa Passaro, Conrad Johnston, Andrius Merkys, Andrea Cepellotti, Nicolas Mounet, Nicola Marzari, Boris Kozinsky, and Giovanni Pizzi, Scientific Data 7, 300 (2020).
- [4] Y Shaidu, R Lot, F Pellegrini, E Kucukbenli, S de Gironcoli, Bulletin of the American Physical Society 65, 2020.