2D materials and perovskite solar cells: toward a reliable and scalable PV technology

Antonio Agresti¹

Sara Pescetelli¹, Francesco Bonaccorso², Anna Vinattieri³, Yan Busby⁴, Daniele Catone⁵, Emmanuel Kymakis⁶ and Aldo Di Carlo¹

⁵ CNR-ISM, Division of Ultrafast Processes in Materials (FLASHit), Area della Ricerca di Roma Tor Vergata, 100 Via del Fosso del Cavaliere, Rome, Italy

⁶ Center of Materials Technology and Photonics & Electrical Engineering Department School of Applied Technology, Technological Educational Institute (T.E.I) of Crete Heraklion, G-71 004 Crete, Greece

antonio.agresti@uniroma2.it

The possibility to produce 2D materials in the form of inks recently allowed their application for the emerging photovoltaic technologies, based on printing deposition techniques and roll2roll production processes. Recently, the use of graphene and 2D materials has been extensive exploited in perovskite photovoltaics, mainly as dopant or interlayer, with the aim to improve device efficiency and long term stability. As a matter of fact, several works claimed the beneficial role of graphene derivatives in enhancing the charge injection/collection when used in cell electrodes.[1] From our side, we demonstrated that the use of graphene flakes as dopant for the mesoscopic TiO₂ photoelectrode has the double role in improving both device power conversion efficiency (PCE) and stability under prolonged light soaking condition.[2] Time and temperature resolved photoluminescence (PL) spectroscopy revealed a strong morphological improvement in perovskite crystals wrapped into the graphene-based mesoporous TiO₂ layer.[3] At the same time, TOF-SIMS and measurements and transient absorption spectroscopy (TAS) pointed out the beneficial role of graphene in preventing iodine penetration within the mesoporous layer by retarding the smaller perovskite crystals degradation at mTiO₂/perovskite interface during the aging tests.[4] The interface engineering strategy based on 2D materials has been even implemented at the counter electrode side by underlining the beneficial role of MoS₂ and its derivatives when used as interlayer at perovskite/spiro-OMeTAD interface.[5] Finally, the proposed 2D engineered structure allowed to efficiently scale-up the perovskite fabrication process from small area devices to large area modules.[6] Remarkably, 2D material engineered modules showed averaged PCE above 13% on 108 cm² active area by demonstrating the crucial role of interface engineering in improving the reliability and in pushing the next commercialization of the emerging perovskite technology.

References

- [1] A. Agresti, S. Pescetelli, A. L. Palma et al., ACS Energy Lett. 2, 279 (2017).
- [2] A. Agresti, S. Pescetelli, L. Cinà, D. Konios, G. Kakavelakis, E. Kymakis, and A. Di Carlo, Adv. Funct. Mater. 26, 2686 (2016).
- [3] A. Agresti, S. Pescetelli, B. Taheri et al., *ChemSusChem* 9, 2609 (2016).
- [4] F. Biccari, F. Gabelloni, E. Burzi, M. Gurioli, S. Pescetelli, A. Agresti et al., *Adv. Energy Mater*. 1701349 (2017).
- [5] Y. Busby, A. Agresti, S. Pescetelli et al., *Materials Today:Energy* 9, 1 (2018).
- [6] Agresti, A.; Pescetelli, S.; Najafi et al., *IEEE Internaional Conference on nanotechnology*; IEEE Xplore (2017).

¹ C.H.O.S.E. (Centre for Hybrid and Organic Solar Energy), Electrical Engineering Department, University of Rome Tor Vergata, Via del Politecnico 1, 00133 Rome, Italy

² Graphene Labs, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, via Morego 30, 16163 Genova, Italy

³ Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Florence and LENS, Via Sansone 1, I–50019 Sesto Fiorentino (FI), Italy ⁴ Research Center in the Physics of Matter and Radiation (PMR), Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire de Spectroscopie Electronique (LISE), University of Namur, B-5000 Namur, Belgium