

#### SEPTEMBER 19-22, 2017 - SINGAPORE

#### 2D COPPER OXIDE. EXPERIMENTAL EVIDENCE ON GRAPHENE AND THEORETICAL INVESTIGATIONS

#### **Dmitry Kvashnin**

National University of Science and Technology MISiS

Moscow, Russia

# Introduction











# Introduction













MoS<sub>2</sub>



Graphene, 3, 52 (2014); J. Phys. Chem Lett., 5, 541 (2014)

## Introduction

J. Phys. Chem. C, 119, 22954 (2015)











### **Experimental Observation**



ADF-STEM image of the Cu cluster and atoms on graphene sheet.

Beam-shower technique as room temperature was used to prevent both contamination and aggregation of Cu atoms.



Sharp threshold peaks, so-called white lines, indicate a partial emptying of the Cu 3d band caused by the chemical bond with oxygen

It was shown that after the annealing there are no formation of 2D structures

#### **Experimental Observation**



### **Theoretical Simulation**

Based on experimental results the model of 2D Cu cluster on graphene surface with the same size was constructed.

The oxygen impurity atoms placed in the centers of Cu rectangles play the major role in the stabilization of orthogonal lattice of 2D-Cu.





With the absence of oxygen impurities the 2D Cu cluster tends to curve and form 3D structure and binds with the graphene surface.



### In-plane stability



Dependence of the energy difference between energy per atom of CuO nanotube ( $E_{NT}$ ) and CuO monolayer ( $E_{2D}$ ) on the inverse squared diameter of the tube

Our estimations of stability of freestanding CuO layers show that the flat 2D CuO structure will be unstable, in particular, it will be corrugated with bending radius ~5 nm





At 600K it was observed in-plane instability and structural instability as well



#### Electronic Properties (DFT+U)

Obtained data allow to concluded that the studied structure is wide gap semiconductor, while 3D phase of CuO is a narrow gap semiconductor with the band gap about 1.5 eV.

Unlike the bulk phase of Cu and CuO which have a paramagnetic (PM) state as a ground state the 2D CuO has an antiferromagnetic (AFM) ground state with the energy difference between PM and AFM about ~-0.018 meV/atom.

 $\overline{Z}$ 

Nanoscale, 9, 3980-3985 (2017)

U-J=0

U-J=2

U-J=4

U-J=6

U-J=6.52

U-J=8

U-J=8.5

U-J=10

3

0 1 2

m

-3 -2 -1

10

5

e<

Ч

Ш

-5

-10

Ē,

FM

 $\overline{X}$ 



(-36.80280 eV/unit cell) (-38.02829 eV/unit cell)



(-38.02843 eV/unit cell) (-38.02838 eV/unit cell)

### Acknowledgments







Dr. Pavel Sorokin MISiS, Russia

Prof. Leonid Chernozatonskii IBCP RAS, Russia

Prof. Dmitri Golberg NIMS, Tsukuba, Japan

Thank you for your attention!



Dr. Liubov Antipina TISNCM, Russia



Dr. Alexander Kvashnin SkolTech, Russia

The work was carried out with financial support from the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation in the framework of Increase Competitiveness Program of NUST «MISiS» (№ K2-2017-001)