

GRAPHENE-POLYSULFONE SORBENTS FOR THE REMOVAL OF CONTAMINANTS OF EMERGING CONCERN FROM DRINKING WATER

S. Khaliha¹, A. Bianchi¹, A. Kovtun¹, M. Zambianchi¹, S. Valsecchi², S. Polesello², B. Casentini³, V. Palermo¹, M. Melucci¹. ¹CNR-ISOF (Bologna, Italy), ²CNR-IRSA (Brugherio Milan, Italy), ³CNR-IRSA (Rome, Italy) **CNR - BOLOGNA**

ABSTRACT

The recently adopted European Drinking Water Directive EU 2020/2184 imposes the monitoring and removal of new emerging contaminants (ECs), such as per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) from our drinking water and tighten the limits for already regulated substances (e.g lead). Such stringent requirements are pushing academic and industrial research to the development of new materials and strategies for efficient water treatment. Graphene based materials, due to their high surface area and multiple interactions pathways with organic molecules and metal ions allowed by the abundant surface chemical moieties, have shown great potential for water purification purposes [1]. Here, we present the preparation of a polysulfone-graphene oxide-composite (PSU-GO) and its use as sorbent of ECs in drinking water, including

SYNTHESIS AND CHARACTERIZATION













- Polysulfone Hollow fibers (PSU-HF) are used for the fabrication of ultrafiltration filters for biomedical applications (fig. a) [2]
- Scraps of the industrial production of polysulfone membranes (**PSU**) are industrial wastes representing 10% of the yearly production, about 6 tons. (fig. b)
- Mechanically grounded PSU scraps(fig c.) are coated by graphene oxide (GO) by a two steps method based on 1) mixing in water and partially drying under vacuum and 2) stabilization, performed under microwaves heating (MW). Content of GO in PSU-GO composite is 2,5% w/w (fig. d). [3]

Coating of PSU by about 10 layers of GO.

GO COATING STABILITY

- Stable fixation was confirmed by circulating water through the cartridge for 100 h.
- Filtered water was analyzed with UV-vis and compared to samples of water with different amounts of GO.



GO content was below 2 ppm (detection limit of GO with UV-vis analysis was in the range of 2-5 ppm).

PSU-GO adsorption performance was tested by inserting the composite in a commercial water filter cartridge and flowing spiked tap water with lead, antibiotics and a mixture of PFAS of different chain length.

Lead and Antibiotics

SELECTIVITY



PSU-GO adsorbes fluoroquinaolonic antibiotics and Lead, while PSU is uneffective. (C_{in}= 100 -500 ppb).



6 µm

The removal increase on increasing the molecule hydrophobicity (i.e. the CF2 chain number). $C_{in} = 10$ ppb, tap water.

ADSORPTION MECHANISM



Area available to molecular adsorption



PERFORMANCE

until breaktrough Filtration of 3.5 Ciprofloxacin revealed a removal efficiency about **4 mg** per cartridge (1 g of PSU-GO composite).









Rhodamine E Ofloxacine (solution) Nitrogen (gas) (solution)

- PSU-GO surface area measured by gas adsorption was much lower than that estimated by liquid sorption. [4]
- Intercalation between overlapped **GO** nanosheets provide a further available adsorption sites for EC molecules.

The efficiency is **ten times** higher than that of PSU and about one order of magnitude higher than Granular activated carbon (20 ug/g). [5]

0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 2.2 2.4 2.6 2.8 3.0 Vol [L] Loading expriments of a solution of Ciprofloxacin.

CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVE

- GO can be stably grafted on PSU recycled granules and the PSU-GO composite can be used to realize filters for drinking water treatment.
- **Future:** Test the removal of substitutive PFAS, life-time and regeneration of composite. The use of functionalized GO could tune the removal selectivity.

CONTACT PERSON

REFERENCES

22787.

sara.khaliha@isof.cnr.it

GRAPHENE FLAGSHIP

Project n. 881603 SH1-GRAPHIL



[1] F. Perreault, A. Fonseca de Faria, M. Elimelech, Chem. Soc. Rev., 44, (2015), 5861-5896. [2] Medica S.p.a , https://www.medica.it/

[3] A. Kovtun, M. Zambianchi, C. Bettini, A. Liscio, M. Gazzano, F. Corticelli, E. Treossi, M.L. Navacchia, V. Palermo, M. Melucci, Nanoscale, 11, (2019), 22780-

[4] J. C. Santamarina, K. A. Klein, Y. H. Wang and E. Prencke, Can. Geotech. J., 2002, 39, 233–241.

[5] A. Kovtun, A. Bianchi, M. Zambianchi, C. Bettini, F. Corticelli, G. P. Ruani, L. Bocchi, F. Stante, M. Gazzano, T. D. Marforio, M. Calvaresi, M. Minelli, M.L. Navacchia, V. Palermo, M. Melucci, Faraday Discuss., 2020, DOI: 10.1039/C9FD00117D





SmallChem