

# Valley and Spin Blockade in Graphene Quantum Dots

Chuyao Tong<sup>1</sup>

Annika Kurzmann<sup>1</sup>, Rebekka Garreis<sup>1</sup>, Wei Wister Huang<sup>1</sup>, Samuel Jele<sup>1</sup>, Marius Eich<sup>1</sup>, Lev Ginzburg<sup>1</sup>, Christopher Mittag<sup>1</sup>, Kenji Watanabe<sup>2</sup>, Takashi Taniguchi<sup>2</sup>, Klaus Ensslin<sup>1</sup> and Thomas Ihn<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Solid State Physics Laboratory, ETH Zurich, CH-8093 Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup> National Institute for Material Science, 1-1 Namiki, Tsukuba 305-0044, Japan

ctong@phys.ethz.ch

The Pauli blockade effect in coupled double quantum dots, the prohibition of transitions between spin-singlet and triplet-states [1], is the foundation of successful manipulation of spin qubits [2]. Pauli spin blockade is well established for systems such as GaAs QDs, where the two-electron spin-singlet ground state is separated from the three triplet states higher in energy [1, 2, 3]. In our bilayer graphene double dots, the spin and valley states can be precisely controlled [4, 5, 6]. We demonstrate that gate and magnetic-field tuning allows switching between a spin-triplet–valley-singlet ground state with charge occupancy (2,0), where valley-blockade is observed, and a spin-singlet–valley-triplet ground state, where spin blockade is shown [7]. The observation of blockade also demonstrates the superb quality that the graphene quantum dots have reached, brought about by recent progress in fabrication technology.

## References

- [1] K. Ono, D. Austing, Y. Tokura, and S. Tarucha, *Science*, 297 (2002) 1313–1317.
- [2] J. R. Petta, A. C. Johnson, J. M. Taylor, E. A. Laird, A. Yacoby, M. D. Lukin, C. M. Marcus, M. P. Hanson, and A. C. Gossard, *Science*, 309 (2005) 2180–2184.
- [3] A. C. Johnson, J. R. Petta, C. M. Marcus, M. P. Hanson, and A. C. Gossard, *Phys. Rev. B*, 72 (2005) 165308.
- [4] A. Kurzmann, M. Eich, H. Overweg, M. Mangold, F. Herman, P. Rickhaus, R. Pisoni, Y. Lee, R. Garreis, C. Tong, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 123 (2019) 026803.
- [5] C. Tong, R. Garreis, A. Knothe, M. Eich, A. Sacchi, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, V. Fal'ko, T. Ihn, K. Ensslin, *Nano Lett.*, 21 (2021) 1068–1073.
- [6] A. Knothe, L. I. Glazman, and V. I. Fal'ko, arXiv:2104.03399 [cond-mat.mes-hall] (2021).
- [7] C. Tong, A. Kurzmann, R. Garreis, W.W.Huang, S. Jele, M. Eich, L. Ginzburg, C. Mittag, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, K. Ensslin, T. Ihn, arXiv:2106.04722 [cond-mat.mes-hall] (2021).

## Figures

**Figure 1:** Finite-bias triangles at  $B=800$  mT with (i) negative, and (ii) positive source–drain bias  $V_{SD}$ , with relevant transitions sketched for zero detuning in (iv), and (v), respectively. For negative-bias (electron transport  $(2,0) \rightarrow (1,1)$ ), the spin-blockaded GS–GS transition (grey) is readily circumvented by a transition very close in energy (black). For positive bias (electron transport  $(1,1) \rightarrow (2,0)$ ), the next available transition is higher in energy (red), and requires a valley flip. (iii) Line-cuts along the dashed arrows, where  $V_{L,R}$  are converted into detuning  $\delta$ . Current peaks are labelled by dots. Valley-blockade (VB) and spin-blockade (SB) effects suppress the current in the positive-bias direction.

