

From multi-layered graphene to diamond film of nanometer thickness. Chemically induced phase transformation

Pavel Sorokin¹, Sergey Erohin¹, Qyruan Ruan², Boris Yakobson²

¹National University of Science and Technology MISiS, Moscow, Russian Federation; ²Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA

Nearly two-dimensional diamond, or diamane [1], is coveted as ultrathin *sp*³-carbon film with unique mechanics and electro-optics. The very thinness makes it possible for the surface chemistry, e.g. adsorbed atoms, to shift the bulk phase thermodynamics in favor of diamond, from multilayer graphene. Thermodynamic theory coupled with atomistic first principles computations predicts not only the reduction of required pressure, but also the nucleation barriers, definitive for the kinetic feasibility of diamane formation. Moreover, the optimal adsorbent chair-pattern on a bilayer graphene results in a cubic diamond lattice, while for thicker precursors the adsorbent boat-structure tends to produce hexagonal diamond (lonsdaleite), if graphene was in AA` stacking to start with. As adsorbents, H and F are conducive to diamond formation, while Cl appears sterically hindered.

Thermodynamical approach

It is previously shown [2] that the total chemical adsorption (of atomic H or F) on the multilayer graphene surface facilitates the formation of diamond films: pressure of phase transition is reduced and formally turns negative, this becomes "chemically induced" phase transition.

The nucleation barrier of the diamond phase formation can be determined by tracking the Gibbs free energy as a function of nucleus size, n. For FLG with n chemisorbed chemical species on both surfaces, the ΔG is between the sp^3 diamond state of chemically bonded interior and the all sp^2 -graphene layers



 $\Delta G = \Delta E^{bulk} (N-2)n + \Delta E^{surf} n + \gamma + p \Delta V,$

The surface-chemical term ΔE^{surf} and pressure-driven terms are negative. representing the general trend of phase transition induced by *surface* chemisorption and external *pressure*. The uphill, positive terms all scale as $\sim N$ or even ~ N^2 , and for large number of layers, ΔE^{bulk} term (phase-change energy, $\sim N$ and costly mismatch strain overcome the surface chemistry gains and thus prohibit the nucleation. For thicker FLG, both critical size of sp³-cluster and 10 Inucleation barrier increase rapidly.



A lot of questions remain unanswered like "why formation of diamond nanofilm was observed in experiment only for the case of bilayer films?", "what the mechanism of phase transition from graphene to diamane?", "what the final structure of the resulted diamond film and how it depends on the external conditions?". All of them require extension of the somewhere oversimplified theory and take into account a new phase nucleation process, describe the "reaction path" and nucleation barrier.

"Nano-thermodynamical" approach

The nucleation barriers for fluorination process are surprisingly higher than for the hydrogenation. Moreover, for the chlorine case simulations reveal that the ordered boat-conformation on the surface is entirely unstable. This is apparently due to the atomic radii of adatoms crowded in the compact cluster

CONTACT PERSON

Dr. Pavel B. Sorokin, PBSorokin@misis.ru

REFERENCES

[1] L.A. Chernozatonskii et al. Diamond-like C_2H nanolayer, diamane: simulation of the structure and properties, JETP Lett. 90, 2, 134–138 (2009)

[2] A.G. Kvashnin et al. Phase diagram of quasi-two-dimensional carbon, Nano Lett. 14, 2, 676-681 (2014)

[3] S.V. Erohin et al. Nano-thermodynamics of chemically induced graphenediamond transformation Small (2020)

