



GRAPHENE AND 2DM VIRTUAL CONFERENCE & EXPO

Growing perfect graphene on a liquid metal

from self-organized flakes to the single layer

F. La Porta¹, M. Jankowski², A. Saedi³, A.Manikas⁴, M. De Voogd⁵, C. Galitios⁴, G. Van Baarle⁵, K. Reuter⁶, I. Groot³, G. Renaud² and O.Konovalov¹

¹ European Synchrotron Radiation Facility–71 Avenue des Martyrs, 38000 Grenoble, France ³ Universiteit Leiden – Rapenburg 70, 2311 EZ Leiden, Netherlands ² Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CEA, IRIG/MEM/NRS 38000 Grenoble, France ⁴ FORTH – Stadiou Street, Platani, Patra 265 04, Greece

⁵ LPM – Kenauweg 21, 2331 BA Leiden, The Netherlands ⁶TUM – Arcisstraße 21, 80333 München, Germany

In order to produce large-area single-layer graphene with the CVD method, the surface of the metal catalyzer must be homogeneous and smooth[1]. The graphene, as a matter of fact, nucleates on the defects, steps, and impurities. The use of the liquid phase can overcome these limitations and guarantee a uniform flat substrate[2]. Furthermore, the graphene crystals can float on the surface and self-align[3]. However, the study of such systems required the cooling at RT and, therefore, the re-solidification of the samples, altering the surface significantly. Furthermore, in this way, information on the dynamic of the growth was lost entirely. In order to fill this gap, a reactor was projected for the in-situ characterization with the simultaneous combination of x-ray scattering techniques and optical microscopy[4]. The synchrotron light provides atomic information, while the optical microscope is a perfect tool for monitoring the growth. A large

area of high-quality graphene was created in the laboratory with high reproducibility. The growths were done on liquid copper at 1400K and 200 mbar.



Observing the graphene

qm)

Ŧ

Control on the nucleation

The initial partial pressure of the influences the methane precursor nucleation density. To control the



Information from the atoms

In-plane atomic-order

technique was The 0.3^{-1} Detector images the diffraction signal of the graphene lattice. The lattice parameter was calculated to 0.2 0.8 2.4603 ± 0.0005 Å. The low 📜 broadening of the Bragg rod 0.6 آ--0.4 intensity with the q₇ increase 0.1(lower than the one of free dZ standing graphene**) {21} **{20}** 0.2 suggests that the graphene is extremely flat on the FWHM vs Qz 2.95 3.00 5.10 5.15 5.90 5.95 surface of the metal. **The orange lines in {01} are the broadening $Q_{XY} [Å^{-1}]$ of the signal for the free standing graphene[6] *10⁻³ FWHM[Å⁻¹] Out of plane electron density





therefore, nucleation, the CH4 concentration was pulsed for ~1s, and later decrease to a constant value. If the carbon concentration is ~10 higher then the steady-state of the growth (as shown in figure), many atomically thick graphene crystals grow and later selfalign and merge. If the pulsing is avoided, a unique graphene crystal with a large size, up to 2mm, can be produced*. *distance between two opposite corners

during the pulsing Opt. microscope img. +348 s ន្ល 2+ o ba time (s) **Opt.** microscope img. Control of the growth

pp of CH₄

Real-time monitoring allows having a feedback on the status of the growth. The carbon precursor concertation could be varied anytime to alter the condition. In the example below, cycles of growing and etching were alternated in order to increase the periodicity of the self-alignment.



The x-ray reflectivity technique allows measuring the electron density perpendicular to the surface plane. The data were fit with the slab model shown in the figure. In this model, every layer is an error function, the σ of the function gives the roughness. The parameters and the res

results of the fit are:	Gap	Cu roughness	C roughness	
	1.89 Å	1.06 Å	1.28 Å	
From the value of the Gap, it is p Cu-C average distance (Van der V	oossible to derive th Vaals gap) of 3.24 Å Ca Gap Cu	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$	e- dens coming f the fit of Cu	ity rom XRR

Fourier transform of the frames



For the first time, the CVD growth of graphene on liquid metal was characterized *in-situ* with X-rays and optical techniques

Conclusions

200 µm

The optical microscope is a cheap and powerful tool to control the

growth

The x-ray techniques prove that the grown graphene is atomically thick and it is flat on the liquid

Electron density profile [e⁻/Å³]

0

CONT	FACT PERSON	REFERENCES	
E-mail	francesco.la-porta@esrf.fr	 Seah, C. M., Chai, S. P., & Mohamed, A. R. (2014). Mechanisms of graphene growth by chemical vapour deposition on transition metals. <i>Carbon</i>, 70, 1-21. Liu, J., & Fu, L. (2019). Controllable growth of graphene on liquid surfaces. <i>Advanced Materials</i>, 31(9), 1800690 	rsnhono
Linkedin	<u>linkedin.com/in/francesco</u> laporta	 [3] Cingolani, J. S., Deimel, M., Köcher, S., Scheurer, C., Reuter, K., & Andersen, M. (2020). Interface between graphene and liquid Cu from molecular dynamics simulations. <i>The Journal of Chemical Physics</i>, 153(7), 074702. [4] Saedi, M., de Voogd, J. M., Sjardin, A., Manikas, A., Galiotis, C., Jankowski, M., & Groot, I. M. N. (2020). Development of a reactor for the in situ 	Zonlines 2020
Research gate	https://www.researchgate.ne t/profile/Francesco La Porta	 monitoring of 2D materials growth on liquid metal catalysts, using synchrotron x-ray scattering, Raman spectroscopy, and optical microscopy. <i>Review of Scientific Instruments</i>, <i>91</i>(1), 013907. [5] Terasawa, T. O., & Saiki, K. (2015). Radiation-mode optical microscopy on the growth of graphene. <i>Nature communications</i>, <i>6</i>(1), 1-6. [6] Meyer, J. C., Geim, A. K., Katsnelson, M. I., Novoselov, K. S., Booth, T. J., & Roth, S. (2007). The structure of suspended graphene sheets. <i>Nature</i>, <i>446</i>(7131) 	